Secret lies of roleplayers (SLORP)

You start as a PC, aged 20-??, going out to drinks with someone from work, or someone the PC met at book club or something. For clarity of writing this document will assume a male PC and the person he's going out to drinks with is female, referred to as the lady. The guy's also an omnivore (what class is that??)

#1.

The first thing is, she reveals she is vegetarian - this means the PC driving way out of his way and hunting around for a parking spot, not to mention if as an omnivore you don't find vegetarian food that forfilling. At this or at one of the following two points the player might decide his PC doesn't care enough to do this, or he can just sort of force her along to a meat based resteraunt where she sits, upset, with a stick of celery - but she will make an excuse, pretend she a call comes through with an emergency, and split (that'll be awkward at work tomorrow, wont it?). This gains the player 3 certainty. Also instead of letting her go, the PC can make her stick around, but it'll take more effort than saying "Stick around". Going to the vegetarian place gains 2 trust with the lady.

If, by the GM's measure of events by their own perspective, the player puts some real effort, like the player him or herself puts some real life physical effort into looking after the lady, they get a percentile roll, with a 50% chance of gaining an extra point of trust with the lady.

Next is a movie, but she finds violent movies frightening. The PC can just basically force her along, but again she'll make an excuse at some point during the movie, probably after visibly getting upset at the gore ("I don't like this movie") and leave. This will gain the PC 3 certainty. Also instead of letting her go, the PC can make her stick around, but it'll take more effort than saying "Stick around". If the PC goes for another movie, one of them chick flicks probably, he gains another 2 trust with her. Again as above if the player puts in extra effort, the GM may assign a percentile roll and there's a 50% chance of gaining an extra point of trust.

Finally at the end of the night, the PC makes some sort of move. As the PC, use something the goes outside what you'd normally expect at this time. If a kiss seems normal, then he goes for something more physical. If a kiss seems outside what you would expect to just get, then it's a kiss. She declines though. Heck, you could force this point but within the scope of this game, you go far enough to have gone against her will, but she pulls away and leaves (work will definately be awkward - better get in early and spread the story that puts you in the right light). This gains the PC 3 certainty. If, despite lustfull feelings, the PC gentlemanly accepts her no, she grants him a smile and the night is over. +2 trust with the lady. If somehow the player really puts in sweat, again there's a 50% chance of +1 more trust with the lady.

As said, forcing any of these three situations will ultimately cause a split with the lady even if she's forced along in one or more of them. Following events change, based on this.

If there was no split, then on friday the PC hears how on the weekend she's going to a roleplay session of this cool new RPG called salami of christ! Wow, she's a roleplayer! And she likes new games! Soon enough the PC finds himself invited.

At the session play meanders uncomfortably between rules being read out, particularly those to do with strength, dex, etc and players getting excited about something and talking alot of fiction sans any rules use. But beyond the usual, in the middle of the table is a plate of sliced salami. At a point where the rules say she must eat a slice, she does.

"But your a vegetarian" the PC might point out, or the GM can simply remind the player and perhaps the interaction can end there, the player might not have his PC say a thing. However, if he does, the lady responds

"It's just a game!"

Asking further will garner "Stop poking me about it! You have no reason on earth to say I'm bad for doing that! It's JUST a game!"

If they split, the PC reads an account of a play session like the above, with a vegetarian playing yet partaking of the salami of christ. There are some comments to the apparent contradiction of professed values, with the commentor posting many times in confusion on that, much like the above question and garnering the same responce. Before being made out by a moderator as having a vile intent in such asking.

#2.

The weekend passes, and so too the days of the week to friday again. Reading forums whilst at work (which isn't stealing, of course), the PC finds a cool new RPG out called secret lies of roleplayers! It's got this great twist, where it instructs you to lie to someone and say your going to play an RPG with them called "With you, not at you", about clerks in a small corner store forming a friendship. But the cool twist at the end is that it tells you to then take that material they've worked up and the sympathetic responce, the sort it takes to jam with someone, and force it down a path where your character incacerates, prepares then ritually murders their character, which has to last for about 10 to 15 minutes by the rules, while the person maintains that sympathetic, work together responce simply out of having already started to do so and can't stop for that same sympathy, unless the other person lets them.

It's great! The PC immediately sets up a roleplay session of "With you, not at you" 'with' the lady, for that weekend.

Play it through, the GM playing the lady, expecting probably a romantic ending where the clerks of the store realise they atleast have each other despite life being fragile, but then the players PC plays out his PC (I know, hurts the brain...) incarcerating the ladies PC, etc. During this the GM can assign from zero to three percentile rolls, spread through this event as the GM will, based upon his reactions. Each with a 75% chance of gaining the PC +1 certainty. How fast you go on this is up to you as a group. Hopefully.

The ladies responce is that she "didn't like that game" and it is "very upsetting".

At this point the PC loses two trust with the lady.

Again, the weekend passes and the week days, with the nightly news stories of war, shattered corpses in the street and failed peace negotiations in between commercials for four wheel drives, leads to friday night.

The PC gets quite drunk at works drink thing on friday night, and starts flirting with a woman there. As the player of the PC, use whatever level of flirting you think is just enough to be more than just forgetable.

The lady arrives late to the drinks and see's the PC flirting. He immediately loses 2 trust with her.

If the PC's trust with the lady has dropped below 4, she throws a drink in his face and leaves. They have split. Otherwise they leave together, drink down his top.

It's midnight. Angry, the PC steps into his vehicle (if still with the lady, she does as well). It's just personally insulting that she'd think that. Her bad for being insulting.

In the darkness, at the red lights of an empty intersection, devoid of cars, pissed off, the PC simply runs the red light.

And nothing happens.

Who has any reason in the world to say he's bad for doing that, when nothing happened?

And again, 1D6 set of intersections he races through the red lights, in the middle of the night.

Each of the D6 rolled intersections has a 1% chance of a collision, but don't tell the player that. In the event of a collision, roll percentile. 1-33 Both the PC and the lady, with abrassions, live, the other driver dies. 34-66 The PC lives, the other car slammed into the passenger side door, killing the lady kinda almost instantly. 67-100 Both the PC and the lady die, probably along with the other driver (flip a coin) - on the 22 seconds the local news gives to road fatalities, this raises the number from 6 to 9 for that night. This is your epic epitaph. Do not inform the player of there being a roll. Just roll. The importance of the roll is showing just how easy it is to be ignorant of the odds and their outcomes.

The player can have his PC opt to go through up to three more sets of intersections. Each garners him +2 certainty. Again roll as above. +4 certainty if anyone other than him is killed. Or they can say they just start obeying the red lights at the deserted intersections. But wouldn't that be hypocritical after having gone through the others? Lost your stones?

If the PC is dead, this is the end of events. A night time scream of rage suddenly silent forever against twisted metal and plastic. Game over, man. If the lady is dead, they are 'split'. If someone else is dead, they weren't in the spotlight of the story so who cares. There will be a background of police proceedings, letters and an upcoming court date that is beyond the scope of this game.

#3.

That weekend the PC decides to add a dice system to secret lies of roleplayers and announces his add on on a forum. He's totally not encouraging anyone to play it, of course, he writes, adding another post that draws attention to that thread and it's subject. There is some dissent on the forum, but obviously they carry ill intent in what they are saying because the only important thing is what the author wants for their game. That's an important right to maintain and support - it wouldn't do to take someones right to choose away. As player of the PC, ensure you show how pro that right you are - really impress that on the forum. Indeed, so pro that the rights of some come before the rights of others. Make sure to not reflect at all - speak/your PC writes in the way that is utterly absent a second layer of thought - with no questions latter, either. You were just following your own orders.

Eventually the PC hooks up with the author of secret lies of roleplayers. They start printing the game and distributing it, helped by the initial posts and awards given (awards given along with an advocation not to play, but in the mixed message wash, award trumps).

You roll percentile 2 times, 1-80% gains \$100 in sales, and 10 points of trust lost from roughly five individuals somewhere out there, with whatever effect that has. It's a little like watching the nightly road toll - perhaps a drink here, perhaps a lack of sleep there. Little things, adding up into a total. For each point of certainty your PC has, you get an extra roll.

If the lady is there, the game ends with her standing in the doorway, leaning against the frame, as the PC counts his money. Perhaps he looks up and realises how much effort he had to put in for her to be here, now. Or perhaps he's oblivious, and thinks community is something that just happens by itself.

If she's split, then we end with a necklace or scrunchie or some other personal effect she left behind that day she came to play secret lies of roleplayers. The PC brushes it aside to make room for the days takings.